arXiv:1610.05033v1 [math.AC] 17 Oct 2016

ON COHEN-MACAULAY MODULES OVER THE PLANE CURVE SINGULARITY OF TYPE T_{44}

YURIY A. DROZD AND OLEKSII TOVPYHA

Abstract. For a wide class of Cohen–Macaulay modules over the local ring of the plane curve singularity of type T_{44} we explicitly describe the corresponding matrix factorizations. The calculations are based on the technique of matrix problems, in particular, representations of bunches of chains.

1. Introduction

Let $\Bbbk$ be an algebraically closed field, $\boldsymbol{S} = \Bbbk[[X, Y]]$. Recall that the complete local ring of a plane curve singularity of type T_{44} is $\boldsymbol{R} = \boldsymbol{S}/(f)$, where $f = XY(X - Y)(X - \lambda Y)$ and $\lambda \in \Bbbk \setminus \{0, 1\}$. In [3] a classification of maximal Cohen–Macaulay modules over the ring $\boldsymbol{R}$ was obtained in terms of the Auslander–Reiten quivers. Another way to get such a classification was proposed in [6] through the technique of *matrix problems*. Nevertheless, in these papers there was no explicit description of these modules in terms of generators and relations, or, the same, *matrix factorizations* of the polynomial f [7]. In this paper we present such a description for a wide class of $\boldsymbol{R}$-modules.

We consider $\boldsymbol{R}$ as the subring of the direct product $\boldsymbol{R}_1 \times \boldsymbol{R}_2 \times \boldsymbol{R}_3 \times \boldsymbol{R}_4$, where all $\boldsymbol{R}_i = \Bbbk[[t]]$, generated by the elements $x = (t, 0, t, \lambda t)$ and $y = (0, t, t, t)$. We denote by $\boldsymbol{R}_{ij}$ the projection of $\boldsymbol{R}$ to $\boldsymbol{R}_i \times \boldsymbol{R}_j$. All rings $\boldsymbol{R}_{ij}$ are isomorphic to $\Bbbk[[X, Y]]/(XY)$, hence all indecomposable $\boldsymbol{R}_{ij}$-modules are $\boldsymbol{R}_i$, $\boldsymbol{R}_j$ and $\boldsymbol{R}_{ij}$. Let $\boldsymbol{I}$ be the kernel of the projection $\boldsymbol{R} \to \boldsymbol{R}_{12}$ If $M \in \mathsf{CM}(\boldsymbol{R})$, the category of maximal Cohen–Macaulay modules over $\boldsymbol{R}$, then $\boldsymbol{I}M \in \mathsf{CM}(\boldsymbol{R}_{34})$ and $M/\boldsymbol{I}M \in \mathsf{CM}(\boldsymbol{R}_{12})$. The following result, though elementary, is the background of this paper.

Theorem 1.1. *There is an equivalence of the category* $\mathsf{CM}(\boldsymbol{R})$ *with the category* $\mathcal{E}$ *of elements of the* $\mathsf{CM}(\boldsymbol{R}_{12})$-$\mathsf{CM}(\boldsymbol{R}_{34})$*-bimodule* $\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\boldsymbol{R}}$ (in the sense of [5]).

Proof. We have seen that for every $M \in \mathsf{CM}(\boldsymbol{R})$ there is an exact sequence $0 \to L \to M \to N \to 0$, where $N \in \mathsf{CM}(\boldsymbol{R}_{12})$, $L \in \mathsf{CM}(\boldsymbol{R}_{34})$. It defines an element $\xi \in \operatorname{Ext}^1_{\boldsymbol{R}}(N, L)$. Let $0 \to L' \to M' \to N'$ be another exact sequence with $N' \in \mathsf{CM}(\boldsymbol{R}_{12})$, $L' \in \mathsf{CM}(\boldsymbol{R}_{34})$, $\xi' \in \operatorname{Ext}^1_{\boldsymbol{R}}(N', L')$ be the corresponding element and $f \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\boldsymbol{R}}(M, M')$. Then $f(L) \subseteq L'$, so we

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 13C14, 13H10, 14J60.

Key words and phrases. Cohen–Macaulay rings, Cohen–Macaulay modules, Cohen–Macaulay (representation) type, matrix factorization.

obtain a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & L & \longrightarrow & M & \longrightarrow & N & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\beta} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\alpha} & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & L' & \longrightarrow & M' & \longrightarrow & N' & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

It implies that $\beta\xi = \xi'\alpha$, hence the pair (α, β) is a morphism $\xi \to \xi'$ in the category $\mathcal{E}$. On the contrary, given (α, β) such that $\beta\xi = \xi'\alpha$, we obtain a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & L & \longrightarrow & M & \longrightarrow & N & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\beta} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\beta'} & & \| & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & L' & \longrightarrow & \tilde{M} & \longrightarrow & N & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \| & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\alpha'} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\alpha} & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & L' & \longrightarrow & M' & \longrightarrow & N' & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

hence a homomorphism $f = \alpha'\beta' : M \to M'$. Obviously, $f = 0$ if and only if $\alpha = \beta = 0$. □

In the next section we calculate this bimodule and present the result as a "matrix problem". A part of this problems turns to be a sort of *representations of bunch of chains* [1, 2]. So we can describe all indecomposable objects in this case. Then we calculate generators and relations for the corresponding modules, thus obtaining matrix factorizations.

2. Calculation of Ext^1

Let $N \in \mathsf{CM}(\boldsymbol{R}_{12})$ and $L \in \mathsf{CM}(\boldsymbol{R}_{34})$. We can decompose N and L as

$$M \simeq n_1\boldsymbol{R}_1 \oplus n_2\boldsymbol{R}_2 \oplus n_{12}\boldsymbol{R}_{12},$$
$$L \simeq m_3\boldsymbol{R}_3 \oplus m_4\boldsymbol{R}_4 \oplus m_{34}\boldsymbol{R}_{34}.$$

Then an element $\xi \in \operatorname{Ext}^1_{\boldsymbol{R}}(N, L)$ is presented by a block matrix

$$\mathrm{X} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{X}_3^1 & \mathrm{X}_3^2 & \mathrm{X}_3^{12} \\ \mathrm{X}_4^1 & \mathrm{X}_4^2 & \mathrm{X}_4^{12} \\ \mathrm{X}_{34}^1 & \mathrm{X}_{34}^2 & \mathrm{X}_{34}^{12} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.1}$$

where X_r^s is an $m_s \times n_r$ matrix with elements from $\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\boldsymbol{R}}(\boldsymbol{R}_s, \boldsymbol{R}_r)$ (here and later $r \in \{3, 4, 34\}$, $s \in \{1, 2, 12\}$). So we must calculate $\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\boldsymbol{R}}(\boldsymbol{R}_s, \boldsymbol{R}_r)$. Let $\boldsymbol{I}_s$ be the kernel of the projection $\boldsymbol{R} \to \boldsymbol{R}_s$, so we have the exact sequence $0 \to \boldsymbol{I}_s \to \boldsymbol{R} \to \boldsymbol{R}_s \to 0$, whence

$$\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\boldsymbol{R}}(\boldsymbol{R}_s, L) \simeq \operatorname{Hom}_{\boldsymbol{R}}(I_s, L)/L,$$

where L is emedded to $\operatorname{Hom}_{\boldsymbol{R}}(\boldsymbol{I}_s, L)$ if we identify an element $u \in L$ with the homomorphism $a \mapsto au$.

One easily sees that $\boldsymbol{I}_1 = y\boldsymbol{R}$, $\boldsymbol{I}_2 = x\boldsymbol{R}$ and $\boldsymbol{R}_{12} = xy\boldsymbol{R}$. Hence,

$$\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\boldsymbol{R}}(\boldsymbol{R}_1, L) \simeq L/yL,$$
$$\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\boldsymbol{R}}(\boldsymbol{R}_2, L) \simeq L/xL,$$
$$\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\boldsymbol{R}}(\boldsymbol{R}_{12}, L) \simeq L/xyL.$$

Moreover, projections $\boldsymbol{R}_{12} \to \boldsymbol{R}_1$ and $\boldsymbol{R}_{12} \to \boldsymbol{R}_2$ induce the multiplications, respectively, by $x : Ext^1_{\boldsymbol{R}}(\boldsymbol{R}_1, L) \to \mathrm{Ext}^1_{\boldsymbol{R}}(\boldsymbol{R}_{12}, L)$ and by $y : Ext^1_{\boldsymbol{R}}(\boldsymbol{R}_2, L) \to \mathrm{Ext}^1_{\boldsymbol{R}}(\boldsymbol{R}_{12}, L)$. The isomorphisms $\boldsymbol{R}_1 \simeq x\boldsymbol{R}_{12}$ and $\boldsymbol{R}_2 \simeq y\boldsymbol{R}_{12}$ induce the natural projections $Ext^1_{\boldsymbol{R}}(\boldsymbol{R}_{12}, L) \to \mathrm{Ext}^1_{\boldsymbol{R}}(\boldsymbol{R}_s, L)$ $(s = 1, 2)$.

On the other hand, the projections $\boldsymbol{R}_{34} \to \boldsymbol{R}_r$ $(r = 3, 4)$ induce projections $Ext^1_{\boldsymbol{R}}(\boldsymbol{R}_s, \boldsymbol{R}_{34}) \to \mathrm{Ext}^1_{\boldsymbol{R}}(\boldsymbol{R}_s, \boldsymbol{R}_r)$, while the isomorphisms $\boldsymbol{R}_3 \simeq (x - \lambda y)\boldsymbol{R}_{34}$ and $\boldsymbol{R}_4 \simeq (x - y)\boldsymbol{R}_{34}$ induce the multiplications by $(x - \lambda y) : Ext^1_{\boldsymbol{R}}(\boldsymbol{R}_s, \boldsymbol{R}_3) \to \mathrm{Ext}^1_{\boldsymbol{R}}(\boldsymbol{R}_s, \boldsymbol{R}_{34})$ and by $(x - y) : Ext^1_{\boldsymbol{R}}(\boldsymbol{R}_s, \boldsymbol{R}_4) \to \mathrm{Ext}^1_{\boldsymbol{R}}(\boldsymbol{R}_s, \boldsymbol{R}_{34})$.

In the table nearby we present bases of the modules $\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\boldsymbol{R}}(\boldsymbol{R}_s, \boldsymbol{R}_r)$. In this table 1_r denotes the residue class of the identity element of $\boldsymbol{R}_r$ in the corresponding quotient module, t_r denotes its t-multiple.

	$\boldsymbol{R}_1$	$\boldsymbol{R}_2$	$\boldsymbol{R}_{12}$
$\boldsymbol{R}_3$	1_3	1_3	$1_3,\ t_3$
$\boldsymbol{R}_4$	1_4	1_4	$1_4,\ t_4$
$\boldsymbol{R}_{34}$	$1_{34},$ $t_3 = -t_{14}$	$1_{34},$ $t_3 = -\lambda t_4$	$1_{34}, t_3,\ t_4,$ $t_3^2 = -\lambda t_4^2$

↑ ↓ t

The maps between $\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\boldsymbol{R}}(\boldsymbol{R}_r, \boldsymbol{R}_s)$ described above actually act as the projections of the $\boldsymbol{R}_{34}$-row ($\boldsymbol{R}_{12}$-column) onto the $\boldsymbol{R}_3$-row and $\boldsymbol{R}_4$-row (respectively, onto $\boldsymbol{R}_1$-column and $\boldsymbol{R}_2$-column), and as the maps from the $\boldsymbol{R}_3$-row and $\boldsymbol{R}_4$-row (from $\boldsymbol{R}_1$-column and $\boldsymbol{R}_2$-column) to the $\boldsymbol{R}_{34}$-row (respectively, to the $\boldsymbol{R}_{12}$-column) given by the multiplication by t. Double lines between the $\boldsymbol{R}_3$-row and the $\boldsymbol{R}_4$-row, as well as between the $\boldsymbol{R}_1$-column and the $\boldsymbol{R}_2$-column stand to stress that there are no homomophisms between the corresponding modules.

We set $\boldsymbol{E} = \boldsymbol{S}/(t^2)$, $\boldsymbol{E}' = \boldsymbol{R}_{34}/(xy)$. Then two matrices X and X′ of the form (2.1) describe isomorphic modules if and only if $\mathrm{X}' = S\mathrm{X}T$, where $S = (S_i^j)$, $i, j \in \{3, 4, 34\}$ and $T = (T_i^j)$, $i, j \in \{1, 2, 12\}$ are 3×3 block matrices such that $S_3^3, S_4^4, S_3^{34}, S_4^{34}, T_1^1, T_2^2, T_{12}^1, T_{12}^2$ are with elements from $\boldsymbol{E}$, $S_4^3, S_3^4, T_2^1, T_1^2$ are zero, S_{34}^{34} and T_{12}^{12} are with elements from $\boldsymbol{E}'$ and $S_{34}^3, S_{34}^4, T_1^{12}, T_2^{12}$ are with elements from $t\boldsymbol{E}$. Symbolically:

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{E} & 0 & \boldsymbol{E} \\ 0 & \boldsymbol{E} & \boldsymbol{E} \\ t\boldsymbol{E} & t\boldsymbol{E} & \boldsymbol{E}' \end{pmatrix}, \qquad T = \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{E} & 0 & t\boldsymbol{E} \\ 0 & \boldsymbol{E} & t\boldsymbol{E} \\ \boldsymbol{E} & \boldsymbol{E} & \boldsymbol{E}' \end{pmatrix}.$$

3. Modules of the first level

We say that an $\boldsymbol{R}$-module M defined by a matrix X of the form (2.1) is *of the first level* if all matrices X_r^s are with entries from $\Bbbk 1_r$ (i.e. t does not occur). Obviously, if M' is another module of the first level defined by a matrix X′, then $M \simeq M'$ if and only if $\mathrm{X}' = S\mathrm{X}T$, where S, T are as above but with elements from $\Bbbk$. It means that we can do elementary transformations inside each vertical or horizontal stripe and we can add rows (columns) of the third horizontal (vertical) stripe to the rows of the first two stripes. This matrix problem can be considered as *representations of a bunch*

of chains in the sense of [1] or [2, Appendix B] (we use the formulation of the second paper). Namely, we have a pairs of chains:

$$\mathcal{E} = \{e_2 < e_1\},\ \mathcal{F} = \{f_2 < f_1\}$$

with the relation $\sim$: $e_1 \sim e_1,\ f_1 \sim f_1$. Namely, e_1 refers to the first and the second horizontal stripes, $e_1 \sim e_1$ means that there are no transformations between these stripes, e_2 refers to the third horizontal stripe and $e_2 < e_1$ means that we can add the rows of the third stripe to the rows of the first two stripes. In the same way f_1 refers to the first and the second vertical stripes and f_2 refers to the third vertical stripe.

Now we use the description of the indecomposable representations of this bunch of chains from [1, 2]. In our case they correspond to the following words in the alphabeth $\{e_1, e_2, f_1, f_2, -, \sim\}$:

- one cycle $\mathbf{w}_0 = e_1 \sim e_1 - f_1 \sim f_1$;
- one bispecial word $\mathbf{w}_1 = \underline{e_1} - \underline{f_1}$;
- 8 types of special words:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{w}_2(n) &= (e_1 \sim e_1 - f_1 \sim f_1)^n - \underline{e_1};\\
\mathbf{w}_3(n) &= f_2 - (e_1 \sim e_1 - f_1 \sim f_1)^n - \underline{e_1};\\
\mathbf{w}_4(n) &= (e_1 \sim e_1 - f_1 \sim f_1)^n - e_1 \sim e_1 - \underline{f_1};\\
\mathbf{w}_5(n) &= f_2 - (e_1 \sim e_1 - f_1 \sim f_1)^n - e_1 \sim e_1 - \underline{f_1}
\end{aligned}$$

  and the words $\mathbf{w}_i^\top(n)$ $(2 \le i \le 5)$ (*transposed* to $\mathbf{w}_i(n)$) obtained from the words $\mathbf{w}_i(n)$ by replacing e by f and vice versa. The special ends of the words are underlined.
- 7 types of usual words:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{w}_6(n) &= (e_1 \sim e_1 - f_1 \sim f_1)^n;\\
\mathbf{w}_7(n) &= (e_1 \sim e_1 - f_1 \sim f_1)^n - e_2;\\
\mathbf{w}_8(n) &= (e_1 \sim e_1 - f_1 \sim f_1)^n - e_1 \sim e_1 - f_2;\\
\mathbf{w}_9(n) &= f_2 - (e_1 \sim e_1 - f_1 \sim f_1)^n - e_2;\\
\mathbf{w}_{10} &= e_2 - f_2.
\end{aligned}$$

  and the transposed words $\mathbf{w}_i^\top(n)$ $(6 \le i \le 10)$ obtained from the words $\mathbf{w}_i(n)$ by replacing e by f and vice versa.

Here $\mathbf{w}^n$ means $\underbrace{\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{w} - \cdots - \mathbf{w}}_{m \text{ times}}$. In all cases, except $\mathbf{w}_6(n)$ and $\mathbf{w}_9(n)$, $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, while $n \in \mathbb{N}$ in $\mathbf{w}_6(n)$ and $\mathbf{w}_9(n)$. Note that the words transposed to $\mathbf{w}_0$, $\mathbf{w}_1$, $\mathbf{w}_6(n)$, $\mathbf{w}_9(n)$, $\mathbf{w}_{10}$ coincide with their inverse words. Therefore, they give isomorphic representations, so shall not be considered.

Following the construction of indecomposable representations from [1], we construct the matrices corresponding to these words. Recall that for a specail word we must also add a mark $\delta \in \{+, -\}$, for a bispecial word we must add two marks $\delta_1, \delta_2 \in \{+, -\}$ and a size $n \in \mathbb{N}$, for a cycle we must add a size n and an eigenvalue $\mu \notin \{0, 1\}$. So we obtain the matrices presented in Table 1 below. In this table I_n is the unit $n \times n$ matrix, $J_n(\mu)$ is the lower $n \times n$ Jordan matrix with the eigenvalue μ, $\mathbf{e}_n = (0, 0, \dots, 0, 1)$, $\mathbf{e}_1 = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$ and $^\top$ means the transposition. If $n = 0$ or $m = 0$, the

TABLE 1.

$$\mathrm{X}_0(n,\mu) = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} I_n & J_n(\mu) \\ \hline I_n & I_n \end{array}\right) \quad (\mu \in \Bbbk \oplus \{0,1\});$$

$$\mathrm{X}_1(n)^{+,+} = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} I_m & J_m(0) \\ \hline I_m & I_m \end{array}\right) \quad \text{if } n = 2m;$$

$$\mathrm{X}_1(n)^{+,+} = \left(\begin{array}{cc|c} I_m & 0 & J_m(0) \\ 0 & 1 & \mathbf{e}_m \\ \hline I_m & 0 & I_m \end{array}\right) \quad \text{if } n = 2m+1;$$

$$\mathrm{X}_2(n)^{+} = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} I_n & J_n(1) \\ 0 & \mathbf{e}_n \\ \hline I_n & I_n \end{array}\right);$$

$$\mathrm{X}_3(n)^{+} = \left(\begin{array}{cc|cc|c} I_n & 0 & I_n & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ \hline J_n(1) & \mathbf{e}_1^\top & I_n & 0 & 0 \\ \mathbf{e}_n & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array}\right);$$

$$7\mathrm{X}_4(n)^{+} = \left(\begin{array}{cc|c} I_n & 0 & J_n(1) \\ 0 & 1 & \mathbf{e}_n \\ \hline I_n & 0 & I_n \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{array}\right);$$

$$\mathrm{X}_5(n)^{+} = \left(\begin{array}{cc|c|c} I_n & \mathbf{e}_1^\top & J_n(1) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \mathbf{e}_n & 1 \\ \hline I_n & 0 & I_n & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array}\right);$$

$$.\mathrm{X}_6(n) = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} I_n & J_n(1) \\ \hline I_n & I_n \end{array}\right);$$

$$\mathrm{X}_7(n) = \left(\begin{array}{ccc|ccc} I_m & 0 & 0 & J_m(1) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I_k & 0 & 0 & I_k & 0 \\ 0 & (1-\varepsilon)\mathbf{e}_k & 0 & \mathbf{e}_m & 0 & 0 \\ \hline I_m & 0 & 0 & I_m & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & J_k(1) & \mathbf{e}_1^\top & 0 & I_k & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{e}_k & 0 & \varepsilon\mathbf{e}_m & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array}\right),$$

where $m = [n/2],\ k = n-m-1$,

$\varepsilon = 1$ if n is odd and $\varepsilon = 0$ if n is even;

$$\mathrm{X}_8(n) = \left(\begin{array}{cc|c|c} I_n & J_n(1) & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{e}_n & 1 \\ \hline I_n & I_n & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array}\right);$$

$$\mathrm{X}_9(n) = \left(\begin{array}{cc|cc|c} I_n & 0 & I & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ \hline J_n(1) & \mathbf{e}_1^\top & I & 0 & 0 \\ \mathbf{e}_n & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ \hline 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{array}\right);$$

$$\mathrm{X}_{10} = \big(1\big).$$

corresponding rows and columns are absent. All matrices are subdivided just as the matrix X in (2.1). If there are only two vertical (horizontal) stripes, they correspond to the first two vertical (horizontal) stripes of X (no X_r^{12} or X_{34}^s). The only exception is X_{10}, where there is only the part X_{34}^{12}. If we change the mark + to −, we interchange the first two stripes (horizontal if the special end is $\mathbf{e}_1$ and vertical if it is f_1). Then we call the new matrices the $\pm$-*modidfications*. The matrices corresponding to the transposed words are just the transposed matrices.

Thus we have the following result.

Theorem 3.1. *Any $\boldsymbol{R}$-module of the first level decomposes into a direct sum of the modules corresponding to the matrices from Table 1, their transposed matrices and the matrices obtained from them by the $\pm$-modifications.*

4. Generators and relations

Now we calculate matrix factorizations of the polynomial $f = XY(X - Y)(X - \lambda Y)$ corresponding to the indecomposable modules of the first level. In other words, we find minimal sets of generators for these modules and minimal sets of relations for these generators. We present detailed calculations for the case of the modules corresponding to the matrices $\mathbf{w}_7(n)$ and $\mathbf{w}_7^\top(n)$ which seems the most cumbersome. If Φ is the matrix of these relations, then there is a unique matrix Ψ such that $\Psi\Phi = fI$. In all following cases the matrix Ψ can be easily calculated. In order to diminish the size of matrices, we denote by z_i $(1 \le i \le 4)$ the generators of the kernel of the projection $\boldsymbol{R} \to \boldsymbol{R}_i$, that is $z_1 = y$, $z_2 = x$, $z_3 = x - y$, $z_4 = x - \lambda y$.

In this case we have an exact sequence $0 \to L \to M \to N \to 0$, where $N = n\boldsymbol{R}_1 \oplus n\boldsymbol{R}_2$ and $L = n\boldsymbol{R}_3 \oplus n\boldsymbol{R}_4 \oplus \boldsymbol{R}_{34}$. We denote the generators of $n\boldsymbol{R}_i$ by v_j^i $(i \in \{1,2,3,4\},\ 1 \le j \le n)$ and the generator of $\boldsymbol{R}_{34}$ by v. If n is odd, the matrix $\mathrm{X}_7(n)$ gives the relations between these elements:

$$
\begin{aligned}
yv_1^1 &= v_1^3 + v_1^4, & xv_1^2 &= yv_1^1 + v_2^3,\\
yv_2^1 &= v_2^3 + v_2^4, & xv_2^2 &= yv_2^1 + v_3^3,\\
&\dots & &\dots\\
yv_m^1 &= v_m^3 + v_m^4, & xv_m^2 &= yv_m^1 + v_n^3,\\
xv_n^2 &= v, & yv_n^1 &= xv_n^2 + v_{m+1}^4,\\
xv_{m+1}^2 &= v_{m+1}^4 + v_{m+1}^3, & yv_{m+1}^1 &= xv_{m+1}^1 + v_{m+2}^4,\\
xv_{m+2}^2 &= v_{m+2}^4 + v_{m+2}^3, & yv_{m+2}^1 &= xv_{m+2}^2 + v_{m+3}^4\\
&\dots & &\dots\\
xv_{m+k}^2 &= v_{m+k}^4 + v_{m+k}^3, & yv_{m+k}^1 &= xv_{m+k}^2 + v_n^3 + v_n^4.
\end{aligned}
$$

It implies that we can choose as generators of M the set $\{v_1^3, v_i^1, v_i^2\}$. We order them as

$$v_1^3, v_1^1, v_1^2, \dots, v_m^1, v_m^2, v_n^2, v_n^1, v_{m+1}^2 v_{m+1}^1, \dots, v^2 m + k, v_{m+k}^1.$$

As $(x-y)v_i^3 = (x-\lambda y)v_i^4 = (x-y)(x-\lambda y)v = 0$, the matrix of the relations between these generators is

$$\Phi_7(n) = \begin{pmatrix} \Phi_{71} & 0 \\ \mathbf{u}_7 & \Phi_{72} \end{pmatrix},$$

where Φ_{71} is an $(2m+1)\times(2m+1)$ matrix and Φ_{72} is an $2(k+1)\times 2(k+1)$ matrix, namely

$$\Phi_{71}=\begin{pmatrix} z_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0\\ -z_4 & z_1z_4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0\\ 0 & -z_1z_3 & z_2z_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0\\ 0 & z_1z_4 & -z_2z_4 & z_1z_4 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -z_1z_3 & z_2z_3 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & z_1z_4 & -z_2z_4 & z_1z_4 & \dots & 0 & 0\\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & -z_1z_3 & z_2z_3 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\Phi_{72}=\begin{pmatrix} z_2z_3z_4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0\\ -z_2z_4 & z_1z_4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0\\ z_2z_3 & -z_1z_3 & z_2z_3 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & -z_2z_4 & z_1z_4 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & z_2z_3 & -z_1z_3 & z_2z_3 & \dots & 0 & 0\\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & -z_2z_4 & z_1z_4 \end{pmatrix},$$

the matrix $\mathbf{u}_7$ has zero rows except the last one, which is $\mathbf{u}_7$ to $z_2z_4\mathbf{e}_{2m+1}$

If n is even, analogous calculations give:

$$\Phi_y(n)=\begin{pmatrix}\Phi_{72} & 0\\ \mathbf{u}_7' & \Phi_{71}\end{pmatrix},$$

where $\mathbf{u}_7$ has zero rows except the last one, which equals $z_1z_3\mathbf{e}_{2k+1}$.

The matrix $\mathrm{X}_7^\top(n)$ is

$$\left(\begin{array}{ccc|ccc|c} I_m & 0 & 0 & I_m & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & I_k & (1-\varepsilon)\mathbf{e}_k^\top & 0 & J_k^\top(1) & \mathbf{e}_k^\top & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \mathbf{e}_1 & 0 & 1\\ \hline J_m(1)^\top & 0 & \mathbf{e}_m^\top & I_m & 0 & \varepsilon\mathbf{e}_m & 0\\ 0 & I_k & 0 & 0 & I_k & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array}\right)$$

Here $N=n\boldsymbol{R}_1\oplus n\boldsymbol{R}_2\oplus\boldsymbol{R}_{12}$ and $L=n\boldsymbol{R}_3\oplus n\boldsymbol{R}_4$. We use the same notations for m,k,v_j^i and denote by u the generator of $\boldsymbol{R}_{12}$. If n is even, these elements satisfy the relations:

$$\begin{aligned} xv_n^2&=v_{n-1}^3, & yv_n^1&=xv_n^2+v_m^4,\\ xv_m^2&=v_m^4+v_m^3, & yv_m^1&=xv_m^2+v_{m-1}^4,\\ &\dots & &\dots\\ xv_1^2&=v_1^4+v_1^3 & yv_1^1&=xv_1^2, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} yv_{n-1}^1&=v_{n-1}^3+v_{n-1}^4 & xv_{n-1}^2&=yv^{n-1}+v_{n-2}^3,\\ &\dots & &\dots\\ yv_{m+1}^1&=v_{m+1}^3+v_{m+1}^4 & xv_{m+1}^2&=yv_{m+1}^1+v_n^3,\\ xyu&=v_n^3+v_n^4. \end{aligned}$$

It implies that we can choose as generators of M the set $\{v_i^1,v_i^2\}$. We order them as they appear in the relations above. Then the matrix of relations

between these generators becomes

$$\Phi_7^*(n) = \begin{pmatrix} \Phi_{71}^* & 0 \\ \mathbf{u}_7^* & \Phi_{72}^* \end{pmatrix},$$

where Φ_{71}^* is of size $2(m+1) \times 2(m+1)$, Φ_{72}^* is of size $(2k+1) \times (2k+1)$, namely,

$$\Phi_{71}^* = \begin{pmatrix} z_2z_3 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -z_2z_4 & z_1z_4 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ z_2z_3 & -z_1z_3 & z_2z_3 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & -z_2z_4 & z_1z_4 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & z_2z_3 & -z_1z_3 & z_2z_3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & -z_2 & z_1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\Phi_{72}^* = \begin{pmatrix} z_1z_4 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -z_1z_3 & z_2z_3 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ z_1z_4 & -z_2z_4 & z_1z_4 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & -z_1z_3 & z_2z_3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & z_1z_4 & -z_2z_4 & z_2z_1z_4 \end{pmatrix},$$

the matrix $\mathbf{u}_7^*$ has zero columns except the first one, which equals $-z_2z_4\mathbf{e}_1^\top$.

If n is odd, we obtain that

$$\Phi_7^*(n) = \begin{pmatrix} \Phi_{72}^* & 0 \\ \mathbf{u}_7^{*\prime} & \Phi_{71}^* \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\mathbf{u}^{*\prime}_7$ has zero columns except the first one, which equals $-y(x-y)\mathbf{e}_1^\top$.

Quite analogously one can calculate matrices of relations $\Phi_k(n)$ and $\Phi_k^*(n)$ corresponding, respectively, to the words $\mathbf{w}_k(n)$ and $\mathbf{w}_k^\top(n)$. The results are given in Table 2. If we change the mark + to −, one must interchange the multipliers x and y if the special end was e_1 and interchange the multipliers $(x-y)$ and $(x-\lambda y)$ if the special end was f_1.

References

[1] V.M. Bondarenko, Representations of bundles of semichained sets and their applications, Algebra i Analiz, 3, no. 5 (1991) 38–61.

[2] Burban, I., Drozd, Y., Derived categories of nodal algebras, J. Algebra, 272 (2004) 46–94.

[3] Dieterich, E., Lattices over curve singularities with large conductor. Invent math. 114 (1993) 399–433.

[4] Drozd, Y., Cohen–Macaulay Modules over Cohen–Macaulay Algebras, Representation Theory of Algebras and Related Topics, CMS Conference Proceedings, 19 (1996) 25–53.

[5] Drozd, Y., Reduction algorithm and representations of boxes and algebras. C. R. Math. Acad. Sci., Soc. R. Can. 23, No.4 (2001) 97–125.

[6] Drozd, Y., Greuel, G.-M., Cohen–Macaulay module type, Compositio Math., 89, no. 3 (1993) 315–338.

[7] Eisenbud, D., Homological algebra on a complete intersection, with an application to group representations, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 260 (1980) 35–64.

Institute of Mathematics, National Academy of Sciences, 01601 Kyiv, Ukraine
E-mail address: y.a.drozd@gmail.com, drozd@imath.kiev.ua
E-mail address: tovpyha@gmail.com
URL: http://www.imath.kiev.ua/∼drozd

TABLE 2.

$$\Phi_0(n,\mu)=\begin{pmatrix}\phi(\mu) & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0\\ \phi'(\mu) & \phi(\mu) & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0\\ 0 & \phi'(\mu) & \phi(\mu) & \dots & 0 & 0\\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & \phi(\mu) & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & \phi'(\mu) & \phi(\mu)\end{pmatrix},$$

where $\phi(\mu)=\begin{pmatrix} z_1z_3 & -z_2z_3\\ -\mu z_1z_4 & z_2z_4\end{pmatrix}$,

$$\phi'(\mu)=\begin{pmatrix} \mu' z_1z_3 & -\mu' z_2z_3\\ -\mu' z_1z_3 & \mu' z_2z_3\end{pmatrix},\ \mu'=(\mu-1)^{-1};$$

$$\Phi_1(n)^{++}=\begin{pmatrix} z_2z_4 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0\\ -z_2z_3 & z_1z_3 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0\\ z_2z_4 & -z_1z_4 & z_2z_4 & \dots & 0 & 0\\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots\\ z_2z_4 & -z_1z_4 & z_2z_4 & \dots & z_2z_4 & 0\\ -z_2z_3 & z_1z_3 & -z_2z_3 & \dots & -z_2z_3 & z_1z_3\end{pmatrix}$$

if n is even; if n is odd, just cross out the first row and the first column;

$\Phi_2(n)^+$ looks like the matrix Φ_{71} from the description of $\Phi_7(n)$;

$\Phi_2^*(n)^+$ looks like Φ_{72}^*;

$\Phi_3(n)^+$ looks like the matrix Φ_{72}^* where we cross out the first row and the first column;

$\Phi_3^*(n)^+$ looks like Φ_{72}^*, where the element at the position (11) is replaced by $z_1z_3z_4$;

$\Phi_4(n)^+$ looks like the matrix Φ_{71} where we cross out the last row and the last column;

$\Phi_4^*(n)^+$ looks like Φ_{71}^*;

$\Phi_5^*(n)$ looks like Φ_{72};

$\Phi_5(n)^+=\begin{pmatrix} z_1z_3 & 0\\ -z_1z_4\mathbf{e}_1^\top & \Phi_5'\end{pmatrix}$, where Φ_5' looks like Φ_{72}^*;

$\Phi_6(n)$ looks like the matrix Φ_{71} where the last row is replaced by $(0,\dots,0,-z_1,z_2)$;

$\Phi_8(n)=\begin{pmatrix}\Phi_8' & 0\\ \mathbf{u}_8 & z_2z_1z_4\end{pmatrix}$, where Φ_8' looks like Φ_{71} and $\mathbf{u}_8=z_3(0,\dots,0,z_1,-z_2)$;

$\Phi_8^*(n)\begin{pmatrix}{\Phi^*}'_8 & 0\\ \mathbf{u}_8^* & z_1\end{pmatrix}$, where ${\Phi^*}'_8$ looks like Φ_{72}^* and $\mathbf{u}_8^*=-z_2(0,\dots,0,-z_2)$;

$\Phi_9(n)=\begin{pmatrix}\Phi_9' & 0\\ \mathbf{u}_9 & z_2z_1z_4\end{pmatrix}$, where Φ_8' looks like Φ_{72} and $\mathbf{u}_9=z_3(0,\dots,0,z_2,-z_1)$;

$\Phi_{10}=(f)$ (it corresponds to the regular module $\boldsymbol{R}$).